

Local Capability Assessment Survey

Jurisdiction and/or Dept.: Countyline S.U.D.

Phone: 512-398-4748

Name and Title: Danish Heideman

E-mail: heideman@chsud.com

1. PLANNING AND REGULATORY CAPABILITY - Please indicate whether the following planning or regulatory tools (plans, ordinances, codes or programs) are currently in place or under development for your jurisdiction by placing an "X" in the appropriate box. Then, for each particular item in place, identify the department or agency responsible for its implementation and indicate its estimated or anticipated effect on hazard loss reduction (Strongly Supports, Helps Facilitate or Hinders) with another "X". Finally, please provide additional comments or explanations in the space provided or with attachments.

Planning / Regulatory Tool	In Place	Under Development	Department / Agency Responsible	Effect on Loss Reduction			Comments
				Strongly Supports	Helps Facilitate	Hinders	
Capital Improvements Plan	X		CHSUD		X		
Community Wildfire Protection Plan							
Comprehensive/Master Plan / Land Use Plan	X		CHSUD		X		
Continuity of Operations Plan	X		CHSUD		X		
Emergency Operations Plan	X		CHSUD	X			
Evacuation Plan							
Hazard Mitigation Plan	X		CHSUD		X		
Stormwater Management Plan / Ordinance							
Policies/Ordinances	In Place	Under Development	Department / Agency Responsible	Effect on Loss Reduction			Comments
				Strongly Supports	Helps Facilitate	Hinders	
Building Code (include name/year under Comments)							
Fire Code (include name/year under Comments)							
Floodplain Ordinance							
Stormwater Ordinance							

Local Capability Assessment Survey

Subdivision Regulations							
Wildfire Ordinance							
Zoning Ordinance/Land Use Restrictions							
Programs/Studies	In Place	Under Development	Department / Agency Responsible	Effect on Loss Reduction			Comments
				Strongly Supports	Helps Facilitate	Hinders	
Floodplain Maps/Flood Insurance Studies							
Hydrologic/Hydraulic Studies							
Mutual Aid Agreement							
National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)							
NFIP Community Rating System (CRS Program)							
Property Acquisition Program							
Public Education/Awareness Programs							
Storm Drainage Systems Maintenance Program							
Stream Maintenance Program							
Warning Systems/Services (reverse 911, outdoor warning signals)							

2. ADMINISTRATIVE AND TECHNICAL CAPABILITY - Please indicate whether your jurisdiction maintains the following staff members within its current personnel resources by placing an "X" in the appropriate box . Then, if YES, please identify the department or agency they work under and provide any other comments you may have in the space provided or with attachments.

Staff / Personnel Resources	Yes	No	Department / Agency	Comments
Building Code Official		X		

Local Capability Assessment Survey

Emergency manager		X		
Engineers		X		
Environmental Conservation Specialist		X		
Floodplain manager		X		
Geographic Information Systems (GIS) Coordinator		X		
Personnel with Hazard Knowledge		X		
Planners		X		
Public Information Official		X		
Resource development staff or grant writers		X		

3. FISCAL CAPABILITY - Please indicate whether your jurisdiction has access to or is eligible to use the following local financial resources *for hazard mitigation purposes* (including as match funds for State of Federal mitigation grant funds). Then, identify the primary department or agency responsible for its administration or allocation and provide any other comments you may have in the space provided or with attachments.

Financial Resources	Yes	No	Department / Agency	Comments
Capital Improvement Programming		X		
Community Development Block Grants (CDBG)		X		
Partnering arrangements or intergovernmental agreements		X		
Stormwater Utility Fees		X		
Other: _____				

Local Capability Assessment Survey

4. POLITICAL CAPABILITY - Political capability can be generally measured by the degree to which local political leadership is willing to enact policies and programs that reduce hazard vulnerabilities in your community, even if met with some opposition. Examples may include guiding development away from identified hazard areas, restricting public investments or capital improvements within hazard areas, or enforcing local development standards that go beyond minimum State or Federal requirements (e.g., building codes, floodplain management, etc.). Please identify some general examples of these efforts if available and/or reference where more documentation can be found.

--

5. INCORPORATION OF EXISTING PLANS- Please list in the space provided below examples of how your jurisdiction will incorporate the Hazard Mitigation Plan into other planning mechanisms. Examples might include reviewing the plan before submitting FEMA grant applications, incorporating portions of the risk assessment into emergency or improvement plans, or incorporating mitigation actions into mutual aid agreements.

--

6. NFIP PARTICIPATION- If your community **participates** in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) please list any examples of how your jurisdiction participates, such as: increasing floodplain management standards; developing floodplain ordinances or plans; or engaging the general public in any awareness activities . If your community **does not participate** in the NFIP please include a brief statement explaining why your jurisdiction does not participate. Examples may include cost or feasibility.

--

Local Capability Assessment Survey

7. PREVIOUS MITIGATION ACTIVITIES - Please list any previous mitigation activities (e.g. structural and/or planning projects or grants) that have been or will be implemented for your community. Please include the title of the project or grant along with any start or completion dates and the department or agency responsible.

8. SELF-ASSESSMENT OF CAPABILITY - Please provide an approximate measure of your jurisdiction's capability to effectively implement hazard mitigation strategies to reduce hazard vulnerabilities. Using the following table, please place an "X" in the box marking the most appropriate degree of capability (Limited, Moderate or High) based upon best available information and the responses provided in Sections 1-8 of this survey.

	DEGREE OF CAPABILITY		
	LIMITED	MODERATE	HIGH
Planning and Regulatory Capability	X		
Administrative and Technical Capability	X		
Fiscal Capability	X		
Political Capability	X		
OVERALL CAPABILITY	X		